[]	
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
2	Attorney General of California GREGORY J. SALUTE
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALVARO МЕЛА
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 216956
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-0083 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2010-220
12	MARILYN ROSE STRONG aka MARILYN R. FASANELLA
13	aka MARILYN R. RUSSELL
14	1640 Holguin Street Lancaster, CA 93534 ACCUSATION
15	Registered Nurse License No. 305969
16	Respondent.
17	
18	
19	Complainant alleges:
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her
22	official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing, Department
23	of Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On or about September 30, 1979, the Board of Registered Nursing (Board) issued
25	Registered Nurse License No. 305969 to Marilyn Rose Strong, aka Marilyn R. Fasanella, and aka
26	Marilyn R. Russell (Respondent). The Registered Nurse License was in full force and effect at all
27	times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2011, unless renewed.
28	///
	1

///

///

JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.

STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 4. Section 118, subdivision (b), provides that the suspension, expiration, surrender or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 5. Section 2750 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.
- 6. Section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 7. Section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

"The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- "(a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(1) Incompetence, or gross negligence in carrying out usual certified or licensed nursing functions.

"(d) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violating of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act] or regulations adopted pursuant to it. . . ."

8. Section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

"In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- "(a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.
- "(b) Use any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022, or alcoholic beverages, to an extent or in a manner dangerous or injurious to himself or herself, any other person, or the public or to the extent that such use impairs his or her ability to conduct with safety to the public the practice authorized by his or her license.

. . . .

"(e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section."

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, states:

"As used in Section 2761 of the code, 'gross negligence' includes an extreme departure from the standard of care which, under similar circumstances, would have ordinarily been exercised by a competent registered nurse. Such an extreme departure means the repeated failure to provide nursing care as required or failure to provide care or to exercise ordinary precaution in a single situation which the nurse knew, or should have known, could have jeopardized the client's health or life."

///

///

10.	California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443 states, in pertinent part:	•
"As u	ed in Section 2761 of the code, 'incompetence' means the lack of possession	of

failure to exercise that degree of learning, skill, care and experience ordinarily possessed and

exercised by a competent registered nurse "

11. California Code of Regulations, title 22, section 72313, subdivision (a)(6), states that "[m]edications shall be administered as soon as possible, but no more than two hours after doses are prepared, and shall be administered by the same person who prepares the doses for administration. Doses shall be administered within one hour of the prescribed time unless otherwise indicated by the prescriber."

COST RECOVERY

12. Section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES / DANGEROUS DRUGS

- 13. Benadryl is the trade name for the drug diphenhydramine, which is classified as an antihistamine, sedative, Anti-Parkinson, Anaphylaxis. In 50 mg capsules or tablets this is a prescription drug and considered a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- 14. Demerol, a brand of meperidine hydrochloride, a derivative of the narcotic substance pethidine, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055(c)(17) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.
- 15. Dilaudid is a trade name for hydromorphone, an opium derivative, which is classified as a Schedule II Controlled Substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11055(b)(1)(k) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to 4022.
- 16. Morphine/Morphine Sulfate, a narcotic substance, is a Schedule II controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 11055(b)(1)(M) and is categorized as a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022.

or the

- 17. Reglan is a brand name for metoclopramide and a dangerous drug pursuant to section 4022(c).
- 18. Vicodin ES, a trade name for the combination drug containing hydrocodone bitartrate (opioid analgesic) and acetaminophen, is a Schedule III controlled substance as defined in Health and Safety Code section 11056(e)(7) and is categorized as a dangerous drug according to section 4022.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Self Administration of a Controlled Substance)

19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct in that on or about May 24, 2006, Respondent, while employed as a registered nurse at Antelope Valley Hospital, Lancaster, California, admitted to self-medicating herself with the controlled substance and dangerous drug, Dilaudid, without a valid prescription.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of a Controlled Substance)

20. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2761, subdivisions (a) and (d), and 2762, subdivision (b), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about May 24, 2006, Respondent presented herself for work as a registered nurse to Antelope Valley Hospital, Lancaster, California, while under the influence of a controlled substance and dangerous drug. Respondent tested positive for Dilaudid, without a valid prescription.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Illegally Obtain / Possess a Controlled Substance)

21. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2761, subdivisions (a) and (d), and 2762, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or about May 24, 2006, Respondent admitted to obtaining and possessing Dilaudid, a controlled substance and dangerous drug, from her daughter's boyfriend's prescription bottle. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 19 and 20, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(False Records)

22. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivisions (a) and (d), and 2762, subdivision (e), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that while employed as a registered nurse at Antelope Valley Hospital, Lancaster, California, Respondent falsified, made grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in hospital and patients records pertaining to controlled substances and dangerous drugs, as follows:

a. Patient MR 120204938.

- (1) On or about May 3, 2006, the patient's Medication Administration Record (MAR) records physician's orders were 4 mg Dilaudid IV every three (3) hours as needed.
- (2) On or about May 3, 2006, at 9:33 am, Respondent cancelled removal of 2 mg Dilaudid for this patient. Respondent recorded on the patient's Medication Administration Record (MAR) administration of 4 mg of Dilaudid to this patient. Respondent's documentation is incorrect, inconsistent, and unintelligible.
- (3) On or about May 3, 2006, at 6:29 pm, Respondent removed 2 mg Dilaudid for this patient without cancellation or wastage. Respondent recorded on the patient's MAR administration of this medication was withheld from the patient. Respondent failed to account for 2 mg Dilaudid in any hospital records.
- (4) On or about May 3, 2006, the MAR records physician's orders were 50 mg Benadryl IV every three hours as needed.
- (5) On or about May 3, 2006, at 6:28 pm, Respondent removed 50 mg Benadryl for this patient without cancellation or wastage. Respondent recorded on the patient's MAR administration of this medication was withheld from the patient. Respondent failed to account for 50 mg Benadryl in any hospital records.

^{1. &}lt;sup>1</sup> "Removals" of medication are made from the hospital's Pyxis. Pyxis is a trade name for the automated single-unit dose medication dispensing system that records information such as patient name, physician orders, date and time medication was withdrawn, and the name of the licensed individual who withdrew and administered the medication.

b. Patient MR 120281282. On or about May 4, 2006, physician's orders were 1-2 mg Dilaudid IV every 2-3 hours as needed. At 7:54 am, Respondent removed 2 mg Dilaudid for this patient. Respondent recorded on the MAR that at 7:50 am, 2 mg Dilaudid was wasted. Respondent recorded on the nursing notes that 2 mg Dilaudid was wasted at bedside. Respondent failed to have a witness verify her wastage of 2 mg Dilaudid. Respondent failed to account for 2 mg Dilaudid in any hospital records.

Patient MR 120242953.

- (1) On or about May 8, 2006 and May 10, 2006, the physician's orders were 100 mg Meperidine (Demerol) every 2 hours as needed.
- (2) On or about May 8, 2006, at 5:18 pm, Respondent removed 2 50 mg Demerol for this patient without cancellation or wastage. Respondent failed to record on the patient's MAR administration of the medication. Respondent failed to account for 100 mg Demerol in any hospital records.
- (3) On or about May 8, 2006, at 7:18 pm, Respondent removed 2 50 mg Demerol for this patient, and at 7:19 pm, Respondent cancelled removal of 2 50 mg Demerol for this patient. Without removal of 100 mg Demerol, Respondent recorded on the MAR administration of 100 mg Demerol to this patient. Respondent's documentation is incorrect, inconsistent, and unintelligible.
- (4) On or about May 10, 2006, at 5:26 pm, Respondent removed 2 50 mg Demerol for this patient without cancellation or wastage. Respondent failed to record on the patient's MAR administration of the medication. Respondent failed to account for 100 mg Demerol in any hospital records.
- (5) On or about May 10, 2006, at 7:19 pm, Respondent removed 2 50 mg Demerol for this patient without cancellation or wastage. Respondent failed to record on the patient's MAR administration of the medication. Respondent failed to account for 100 mg Demerol in any hospital records.

///

///

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Unprofessional Conduct) Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a), in 23. that on or between May 3, 2006 and May 24, 2006, Respondent committed acts of unprofessional 4 conduct when: Respondent admitted that some of her nursing notes were confusing and refused to a. 6 review the charts for inconsistencies when requested; 7 Respondent failed to document administration of medications as ordered; 8 b. Respondent failed to administer medications to patients; 9 c. Respondent failed to account for 50 mg Benadryl, 300 mg Demerol, 6 mg Diaudid, 8 d. 10 mg Morphine, and 5 mg Reglan in any hospital record; Respondent failed to follow physician orders for medication administration; or d. 12 Respondent knowingly reported to work in an impaired state. 13 e. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in 14 paragraphs 19 - 22, inclusive, as though set forth fully. 15 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 16 (Gross Negligence) 17 Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), 18 and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1442, on the grounds of unprofessional 19 conduct, in that on or between May 3, 2006 and May 24, 2006, Respondent committed acts of 20 gross negligence when she repeatedly deviated from the accepted standards of practice, 21 repeatedly failed to provide nursing care as required, and repeatedly failed to exercise ordinary 22 precaution or apply basic safety precautions in which she knew or should have known that the 23 patient's life could be jeopardized. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the 24 allegations set forth above in paragraphs 19 - 23, inclusive, as though set forth fully. 25 /// 26 /// 27

1

2

3

5

11

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Incompetence)

25. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2761, subdivision (a)(1), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1443, on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, in that on or between May 3, 2006 and May 24, 2006, Respondent committed acts of incompetence when she failed to exercise that degree of learning, skill, and experience ordinarily possessed and exercised by a competent registered nurse. Complainant refers to and by this reference incorporates the allegations set forth above in paragraphs 19 - 23, inclusive, as though set forth fully.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License No. 305969, issued to Respondent;
- 2. Ordering Respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to section 125.3; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 10/21/09 Jouine & Bailey, M.ED., 1

Interim Executive Officer
Board of Registered Nursing
Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

DOJ Matter ID: LA2009602720

60477842.doc